

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,234	04/06/2000	TSUTOMU AWAMURA	49668(281) 1287	
21874	7590 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874			WHITE, EVERETT NMN	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1623	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/529,234	AWAMURA ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	EVERETT WHITE	1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee 16e. The appropriate extension fee under			
 above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mogerned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.	·				
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6 and 8-11</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:		JAMES O. WILSON PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY SENTER 1600			
		felles - VVVb			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/529,234

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Insertion of the term "monolayer" into Claim 1 raises the issue of new matter which would require further consideration and/or search. The rejection of the Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Ishida et al patent in view of the Squillante et al patent is maintained for the reasons of record.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the previously filed Office Actions. The definition of term "solid solution" provided by Applicants as considered by the Scientific community to show support for the use of the term in the claims is noted. The definition provided by Applicants includes: a homogeneous solid that can exist over a range of component chemicals; a constituent of alloys that is formed when atoms of an element are incorporated into the crystals of a metal). The term is used to describe compositions comprising alloys and metals. Since alloys and metals are not present in the instantly claimed film preparation, the rejection of the use of the term "solid solution" under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, is maintained for the reasons of record.

^